

# **Examiners' Report**

Principal Examiner Feedback

Janruary 2018

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level in History (WHI02)

Paper 1C: Russia, 1917 – 91: From Lenin to

Yeltsin

#### Edex cel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.btec.co.uk</a>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2017

#### Introduction

It was pleasing to see a number of well-informed and well-written responses from candidates on IAS Paper WHI02 1C which covers the option Russia, 1917-91: From Lenin to Yeltsin. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts cause, consequence, change/ continuity, similarity/difference and significance.

In Section A it was clear that some candidates understood what was meant by 'value' and 'weight' in the context of source analysis and evaluation. However, in this series many candidates relied more heavily in their knowledge base, particularly in answering 1b and focused lesson the evidence in the source. Some candidates are still writing about limitations in question a and this did impact on the length of part b for some candidates.

In Section B, some candidates produced wholly descriptive essays which were devoid of analysis, but more responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was the lack of a sharp focus on the precise terms of the question and the date range set by the question and/or focus on the second order concept that was targeted. In some cases candidates struggled to develop sufficient relevant material to address the question and some included material that did not relate to the question.

It remains important to realise that Section A topics are drawn from highlighted topics on the specification whereas Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important. There was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B.

The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

# Question 1a)

A good number of candidates demonstrated an understanding of the source and were able to draw out inferences about the purpose of education in the Soviet Union in the 1930s. In particular, these candidates were able to draw out the importance of instilling communist values in youth through education. A number of candidates did not keep a sharp focus on the question and wrote generically about education in the Soviet Union or the purpose of the Komsomol without regard to the source or the focus on 'purpose'. A number of candidates do not go beyond paraphrasing and summarising the source material and this limited their achievement. In addition, many comments on the provenance of the source were highly generic, going little further than noting the provenance of the source in the caption and too many candidates discussed lack of value at the end of their answer and judged upon this, thus undermining an answer that should be focused on value.

Joseph Stalin was the leader of the USP from
1928 until 1953. Educational policies were very
important to him for several reasons.

Found of was written and spoule by the Kousous of Jouth " such is valuable as it was their duty from Stalin and their fourth to follow communist iclass as Stalin was a true levinist and proved Harrist views.

Firstly, the source is valuable as the need for early the source of the population was literate so therefore the hydrology strengthening and development of occupies was great source one has beauty away young people " staling and the komponish planty of the

dildreu <del>weut to</del> primary education Secoudly, Staliu developed a totalitaricue dictatorship, which wealt he had and wanted complete control over everything, therefore that the source Days "school discipline and organisa tion of work in schools as the gives value for the enquiry of the purpose for education as dilaren learned to Horrover, ceusorsuip was great under Stalia as the source states "daily events" were taught in schools, but only the consored vertion of everything being perfect and controlled. control was a large reason why education was important, and the source supports this and has value Furthermore, possibly one of the largest teasous for education was stalin's industrialization policy, where he increased heavy judistry Heavy judistry requires dulled labour to make weapous and mashinery. The source has value as it supports that claim by aiming to increase " Holywood training. " Ralfali xwoods

were introcluded to reduce adult illiteracy.
By 1939, 94% were literate and the army had compulsory literacy classes. Staring that they hived "its best teachers" may have been true however many teachers were willed during show trials and the great Terror.

In conclusion, the source has value and merit as it suggests the reason for educational enanges. Reasons ouch as control, making the population community indoctrination and having shilled labour for preparing for the second world war.

This is a secure level 3 response achieving level 3 in all the bullet points in the mark scheme. It draws out a range of inferences from the source and explores them using good contextual knowledge. Value is considered both in terms of the source content and the provenance of the source.

# Question 1b)

Whilst there were some well-developed responses to this question with good interrogation of the source to establish its weight, too many candidates wrote extensively about collectivisation without regard to the source. This meant that answers tended to fall into levels one and two because their focus on the task was very limited. A number of good answers interrogated the source with confidence and drew out and developed reasoned inferences about the impact of collectivisation on peasant families. The evaluation of the source was done well in a small number of cases with candidate considering the untypical nature of Shevtsova's family and the genuine nature of the plea by a child. However, too many candidates relied on stereotypical phrases such as 'may be biased' and 'could be exaggerating' without providing justification for their claims from the source. A significant number wrote at length about what was not in the source. Unless it can be shown that this is a deliberate attempt at manipulation by the source, this is not successful technique. Candidates are asked to reach judgements about the source provided rather than comment on what could be used instead.

from 1928-1953. He wade way changes
to advieve a totalitarian dictertosship.

One change he made was collectionsation,
this had severe impacts on many peasants
lives.

Firstly, Staliu decicled to collectivise famus into large famus called Colhubres. He

Said money and their weath should be used for inclustrialization and new Hechnology for the famus. Stalin promised pensants that being part of a collective famu bould only benefit them such as stand boad, but in reality this was a lie. Source troo, hads weight as it stats "We cant pay big taxex, "which were also used for incustrialization technology, therefore they becouldly, Stalin decided to find the unland - liquidation of the class, which resulted in their decites and as they were angry, they willed all their livestoch, which the source

supports and credit can be given "we havent got any livestoch". Stadin wanted to get not of the private ownership of the land under the huralistated the other peasants were not left with as it was i

Furthermore, one can see how the family is very scared of Stalins terror, as although they have that it was Stalin's fault, mind still refers to him as "beloved leader" fear of the OCTPV, but also his cult of personality made stalin liked although his terrible thrugs actions. Deight can be given to extent, as it doesn't explain why save still admires him.

Horeover, the riolding of the hulden and the destruction caused of livestock created a luge famille. Alone in Unraine 2 millio people chied The Weight can be given to the source as it shows the hardship of the pecusant families "-we havent got anything to eat"

foib to wentou, which reduces weight,

is that there were targets for prochection that were way too high as they were unrealistic relating targets was hard as tractors that were provided were poorly made and couldnet be used. This show even more hardship. Another point the source fails to mention is that although there was great hardship, collective farms managed to produce 10.8 tous to export This limits they weight given to the source, also a million pecuality were hours even more hardship.

Much weight can be given to the source of the writer of this better, "Nina Vasilevna Shevtsova", wrote this better, this is an intimate glimpse into her thought. The fact that it was written in 1937 is important as it was intitle unidable of collectivisation, which she as a peasant farmer's also that one is 12 years old, which indicates that her letter is a primary first - hand source and weight can be

	giver due to this.
	lu conclusion, weight can be given to the
I	source as it really shows an intimate
I	glimpse of the life and hardship of
I	peasant farmers under collectionsation
I	This lefter was never intended for disclosure
I	It shows how life really was and not
I	what Staliu's propagauda, which was
I	censored, drowed. However, come points
	were not mentioned in the source that
	also leave kupacts on their lives, which
	decreases the weight of the source
l	V +

This response enters level 4. In particular it shows a good understanding of the values and concerns from which the source has been drawn. It has a clear awareness of the importance of the nature of the source and the value that can be placed on the youth of the writer.

## Question 2

This was by far the most popular essay question. The best answers were underpinned by a depth of knowledge on the aims of Soviet economic policy in the years 1917-28 and an explicit focus on the extent of change. High scoring answers drew out a continuity in aims including the desire to achieve a Communist economic system and highlighted key changes in aims such as the need to focus on winning the Civil War in the early years of Lenin's rule and the later change to the NEP with the aim to win the support of peasants for the regime. The standard of answers was variable. One of the reasons for the lower-achieving answers was because of the lack of precision in determining the focus of the question, 'aims of Soviet economic policy'. Some veered off into description of policies rather than aims and other answers did not focus on the time period and wrote at length about Stalin's policies in the 1930s and 1940s, and in some cases even reviewed economic policies by Khrushchev and Brezhnev. Candidates' responses would benefit by careful planning before writing which would help with focus on the question.

A the aims of the Soviet economic policy has gone varied from ruler to ruler during the years of 1917-28. From 1917-1918
1924 Lenin ruled thite in land and at till his successor stain came about with his ideas and reforms us benins aims were very different from Stalin's hence the aims of the Soviet economic policy went through a major change, but only up to a certain extent.

Under the rule of Lenin, he wanted to create a society and conomy that has herer been appeared before the which is the first Communist state. By doing so he is participating in the one of the two greatest social and economic experiments. tening to achieve his aims, Lening, ten nationalized heavy industries only and and abolished free trade flowerer it became crucial for Lening to come up with a more radical reform due to the outbreak of Civil war flence, War communism was established.

was not allowed. War communism did achieve it's purpose of winning the Civil, but the human cost was catastrophic Peasans resented the fact that their Red surplus produce was faken, hence

they only procluced what was needed for themselves. This lead to a food shortage which later lea to a terrible famine. As a result #3 this 3-4 million peasants died. Furthermore, this also decreased the standard of living. Most importantly, the harsh lifestyle of ander the policy #1 of War Communism led to the biggest shock for Lanin #10 The suilors of Konstract base & mutinied this was a major surprise for these the Bolsheriks as these tago sailors of suilors were the most loyarl supporters for them. This proved Lenin that a change was crucial.

The policy of war communism to under the economic reforms was implemented by the Bolsheviks to win the Civil war food was rationed and requisitioned by the from the surplus produce of the peasants inorder to feed the Red army and the industries and factories. This phores that war communism was proves flend, the main aim of ten the Soviet thion economic policy at the early stages of Lenin's rule was only to win the war this policy did not improve a develop of the Russian economy as it was still poo backward and a Russia was a still know to be an agrarian nation. However the aims of the Soviet economy economic policy changed with the Outbreak of Konstadt to base muting, which led tent Lenin to establish another policy. Which is know as the New Economic policy.

New Economic Policy was a step away from it. The new economic policy included more of aprialist ideas as to Lenin believed that "Russian economy needed to recover first, before establishing a full communist economy. As a vesuit, Lenin was faced with many oppositions, but he firmly believed that this policy was crucial.

New econ under the the New economic policy, peasants were allowed to sell their surplus produce to at a profit and free free trade was allowed. Only furthermore, private ownership of buisnesses and lands was allowed while the others shill remained nationalized. This helped to build the agrarian nation economy to a great extent to build the agrarian nation economy to a great extent to for instance this led to a modernization of the economy with the electrification of the Russian homes. This improved the status of living and lifestyle of the peasants and the workers:

However, there was were major consequences that arose due to At Anis the implementation of this policy. Pressants

ATT A Wenthier group of pressants rose, known as kulaks whom they enjoyed the great priveleges of NEP Winthat, in the cities, At a group called Nepmen also formed. These groups were wealthier groups at people, who greatly beniffitted from NEP. Hence this created inequality and unemployement to a great extent.

The aim of the NEP was to stabilize the economy

and to allow the the economy and the nation to recover before is it changes to a complete communist a country. Il ena this shows that the aim of the soviet economic policy greatly differed and changed during the years of 1917-28 On me other side of the coin, both and to NEP and War communism was designed to one important aim, which was to create the first Communist state- With that both the policy mut was implemented during the years of ord was was to the main aim was and develop the economy. Ilena, due to these reasons, it shows that the aireconomic economic not really change during the years of 1928 economic policies to a certain extent as, some of did change only up during the years of The aims still remained 1928

This is a good level 3 response. It demonstrates a clear knowledge of Soviet economic policy in the period specified in the question and considers change. The penultimate paragraph does show a real focus on the task. However, it does not reach level 4 because of a variable focus on the question. It tends to explain why policy changed rather than explore the extent of change in the aims.

Compare this response to the following one which achieves level 4.

It is detaile how for the the ame of said occorre policy changed between 1917-28 Following Iranalian shipt from peters to go satolin bud belog to a otheral realitions macques under the Fittle of Naturalisation, the transcomption of Econory into an absolute was econory in birtures 1822 1919 and 21 and eventual constation specime. The come of the post account passes aftered and continuely during the period of 1917 and 1921 prom one paspectus will that the one of more pares that aller & too much orastry to an another passage following the peatures of policies like NEO Followise use both sides of the Ast gratar how a tel me ams of sout conour poles chance con be bank pan which personing frolly it's foodmental to not that the aims of economic golles has chancel at considerable asked colloculy the 5/44 gay coluis to war comment pollowing this back to success of the Octobe Radities by the Bolshaik party unde the Leadership of Lans the granders government's Typhen of bourgesofs-bosed go crosson style was tengonie by the Suprane scalars Leader Law. quickly sported and well pred the existing arms of the government as Are doning by tongers class on the holing class as the prolatelet, ah which can be also now

addition, Law is commiss believes perfectly its like the intuitions. approach of kilots which can be conveyed as the alm of go By garagral economic garannost's acorone garas. As a world the givet gover am of mems of aconomy who lend was establishing a see highly certained Comunish notice economy in one to handot the initial does and buy the Isdobylip of plantery for this Lein paymed large scale noticed boton of indistry traces Jagree place the forder is the the hards of goldered for averting a commonly worky, hard segree also abolished the grow amortis of the last and ball all books now degenerated to passole's But of Pussion Republic 900 the aim of Buly 1917 economic adjusts were gratteling the economic and pobled system of to a of godeteral-ticlored & organization Howard a dar suder for the charge the by the introductioner a chil ha believes the white who were briedly the downall of Bos Goldvalls were and Roll of make H clar and the economic polices will be the linked for supplying the army, follows this notice than bound the forme to culturate last with show own will under the headestys of Socialing the am eres suffered to gyaphyng the arry. Word blook congrigations were compressed, indistries. we appeal with hogh-willing style decipline who tresalls to noth and that the army was records took what It were, nook) in terms of the guis and amoney, the collaborations read requesting was also jupited for see sup feeding

The holested motes and almy for for greets arms production and bother fight against whites. As a result, the am of soist comit policy us misolad, DOM the am of worths saralest econony to The meeting the integer denote of the vist only Marour arother auchae that Jacquas the pad that sast economic solicy am diagest realist June the period is the row to cooker NEO polecks in 1921, how contides between 1018 and 21 brought the soupt crowny to the pue co collage theyore hem's now allows in terms OF ECONOTIC POLICE Was Greaters great national and welchip having acrony for litting the souls pan govery and accome descration. The stell posterior was roised from OZ millio tomo to 3.1 million 19 19 pcm (921 to 1996 Which star 65 on exorp to the change NEP include give took in of anicultural goodets, bonus in side axignes Snall-state grote godotos with us bid' bight consold to the applied style of ecount polices. Though It is aided that by the introduction of NEW the Sart parare policy and were traggrade plan Signify the flat any to occurric before of the gerool greaty Ag a regilt, the aim of economic April policy changed dissentenancy became of ourse Isterally duports

Newstheless, as It is slow in the introduction goography it is a sace of continery in the extend of drange of coronix college awas to lands This stokenest of from orother pagestive it can be budged that the change with attachment scale per believe the economic policies is in in numer scale. The 5 p because the most charge of implanting Socialism to replace of bourgeois econory in 1917 to syphing the carry had several comes pectures which on to used for giving the alms were dogo to each other to the mitted large scale notional scales of banking, agas land and sections were purposed for the go enjoyeng the explored adoised proberiest and mondon to a commet economy, like the the nature of Lain's 10/1/01 ractificity masters the how commission to was marked with the of economic measures both economic policies had the Feature of Glark-contailed forming under the license of Lot Degrae of 1917, both solices industrial 9th of economy use being comoded by the Eyene could go princy vegotha. Thereare, It can be done that in split of har commensing count attraction to gamet and protects for has Arry both tolleises had the same am of mantaly socialism havet The alma of go saxt economic polices didn't offer 9000Halcoly

Furthernore, orother enderse that & pass the ones on polices I've not fore the chance is that the glate or Comonusial pada gode was governed the key organs of economy in both nor commission and the New Economic Policy of 1921 Andre Acording to this infomotion the st outer bion conoted JeMIST State was M change of every sections of economy is the da of ug conversen. Trade south my be corred out by the governor, for excepte. In gotte of the Modelin of a westerned am havy policy. NEC the governor continued its load highermany over feeders like Banking, Transport and your concer heavy interly. the governer in short, the governer has still downer in both concert polices which similities to the fact that both policies were written for greater state card so the socians or polars Librat class Scrottelle The got sypon ward of gronay was intruend the prop rates in 1923 we the MED title you lake It had done in the the hor commenter At Last, Lash's another of comes of one they backnows but two step Kornatis on the se over The New Economic edicy parties us good eudono for the fact that thouse not admine change in savet oconomic ours between 1917-20. Lein the head of exercise soviet econory and the suprane

Lecigion make closely but anglosis as that the NEC was created for bringing the loot worken and gookeduit Soviet Grancing . For Manage, for backup the information: (Other mag maraged from 105 million m2 to 2700 m par 1921 to 1926 in the NEP POLICE. Thug we can import hat the econor MED was usus ag a ted to be recom the said who a saidle hard just like the own our source economic policy an of the hor communism which included and one the the Rod Amy through stope could in about to gotat saiglism fon the "Wiks" can be conclude that there is Satolast storary to a PCOLORY THEN TO a COOKER SUGGERY SCONOZ 0,00904 the ame chose egenerally Lean's intention to a repeal am is oll policies I can be concluded as the at an enavorage anoll scale

This response is a level 4 response. It is fully focused on changing aims and discusses how far they changed. The supporting evidence used to develop the arguments is precise and well-selected. It reaches a supported judgement.

## Question 3

There were a small number of answers to this question. The best of theses answers had a secure focus on the question and engaged in the debate regarding Khrushchev's reduction of controls over the arts and artists. These responses were well informed and considered the relaxing of controls on literature and music, including access to foreign radio stations. They explored the restoration of controls between the 'Thaws'. A few candidates struggled to bring any precise examples to support their answers and wrote

more generically about controls over the press. Some candidates wrote descriptively about Khrushchev's rule in the Soviet Union with little regard for the focus of the question and this type of answer did not score highly.

turned into the leader of the Soviet Union ruled from the year 1963 until his fall in 1964. During this time Khrushchev reduced, state control over the arts and artists of the Soviet Union immensely as he liberalized the art of creativity and the treedom that came from it. However he did not completely reduce the power of state out this section of society as he believed that they wielded the power to suppress his reigh therefore held control over it to an extent.

Enrushcher believed that cirative art was an important tool of propaganda. However unlike Joseph Stalin who Ird Soviet Union prior to his tule, knowshiner did not approach propaganda as a tool of complete brainwashing. Stalin used it to create a eutopian society where workers were joyous over rapid industrialization. Aepicting a male! society that was falsely "flawless". The ospect of art in propaganda was controlled by Stalin nimself. contrasting this which proves that knowshow did Irduce State control over alts is the pieces of art that scived propaganda pulposes during his rule. "The Lazy Beautocraft" was an art piece that showed a main on a desk lozily not taking responsibility.

"The Alchobolic" was potraying a drunk man causing have and passed out on his own vomit inveating the invesponsibile men in domestic sector. "When two gills meet "was an art

piece that pothagra two women convirsating one very much influenced by western tashion. Through these critical art shown by different artists knowshocker's rule was openly critisized by he himself who wanted to raise awareness and humiliate the ignorant men in society as well as talk about "stillage" or the Style hunters. The allowance of such critical art that depicted the state of Soviet Union as one that incided to be worked on revals his emancipation of art as one that is not under the complete control over the state.

Internative, and important form of written art was an internally prominent frature of Khrushchev's rule that unward the travelon of state control over art. In the years between labellass then whote an extremely famous book about the importance of de-Stalinization and critisized Stalin's regime specifically his acts of imposing terror. Iabl-labe another book was revised by yearnir Steredov named "no Bread Alone" where he critisized Stalin's calectivization and support of De-Stalinization. The importance of literature in Khrushchevis rule was abundant as this was not under state control which snowed that their were people who supported "de-Stalinization". This showed that the State did allow critisism of trader's prior to his rule.

event that showed the viduction of state control over this sector of alts was when an extremely popular opera that

was bonned in 1236 played for the first time in 1262 under knowherevs rule. Stain in 1236 had righted the wolk of this planist who was forced to exile due to the mumiliation he tackd under stains governance however was brought to light in 1262. The return of a controversial artist revoked that knowhere had imposed linithus over alts and artists when state control was conceined.

Although Khrushchevé rule was successful in trauding state control it an also be argued that he did had back when it was necessarry.

to potial allegiance to the government as well as signify
the successors of socialism and communism. This belief made
him take decisions to stifle any attempt of criticism that
came at a large scale.

Although writers were allowed to critisize traders prior to knowshere "Or Givago" that critisized Lenin as an insufficient trader and his decisions accoured unrighteous revealed that literature was just limited to the critisism of stalin whom knowshere wanted to erase out of the soviet system, revealed in the twentieth Yalty (ongless over the "secret speech" and his "de - Stalin ization" policies. Knowshere banned the book and the writer was sent to exile.

refler the occurance of the incidence of programage the contraversal novel the leader between the years of 1961-1904 prosecuted and exiled many writers that critisized Lenin or

knrushchev. The freedom of writers were limited. Atthough women were allowed to write about their difficulties of womenhood such as rape and domestic violence in consumer magazines proffessional magazines such as 4 The Trucher's cazelytte rewalled that the official libities given by the state were insufficient.

Abstract artform suffered under knrushchev's rule and as the denounced the art and confiscated it as it served no real pulpose. In an arts festival he referred to an abstract art piece as 4 doy shit.

In conclusion knrushchev's reign did without a doubt reduce state control over the arts and artists in soviet union, however he was cautious about the amount of freedom given therefore ensuring his survival and suppression of his reign.

This is a low level 4 response. It explores the key issues and is developed with a range of secure knowledge. It considers both sides of the argument and reaches a judgement, although this is a little weaker than the main body of the response and wold benefit from further development.

## Question 4

There were a small number of answers to this question. The best responses considered political stagnation across the period and debated the extent to which attempts to overcome it were unsuccessful. Most focused on Gorbachev's regime and considered his political reforms and reactions to them. A number of candidates struggled to focus on political stagnation and wrote at length about the economy while others wrote descriptive responses with a focus on the coup. These responses lacked the focus necessary to achieve the higher levels of the mark scheme.

It is not very accurate to say that the attempts of 1982-31 to deal with the political stagnation in the Soviet Union were unsuccessful. The biggest part of solving the problem is knowing and recognising the problem. Under Breshner the Soviet Union stagnated Brezhner took over after Kruscher, who was known for his new ideas and change Brezhner reversed a lot of what skruscher did Brezhon created a stagnation by promoting old members so there weren't any new ideas around, and he created an Oligarchy, the country was run by a handful of geople, all working the for their own profit. The higher ups liked Brezhour as he did things to please from din return for their support Brezhnen allewed officiale to stay in office longer & flat created corruption. Putting friends and family in good positions was also normal for Brezhnevstine This weart that the same sold supeople were in power and directly under them their family or close supperfers. Upon Brezhnevs death a vote started Two carditades, one was Charnenko, a Brezhour man, and the other Gorbacher, who wanted change The oligorchy created caused the votes to go in Chernenkos

favour even though he was old and sick and in no position to rule, people didn't want things to change, Brezhner had treated them well. The same year Chernenko dies loo Gorbacher rises lo power He faced some opposition and a lot of people did not like his changes. Glasnost was introduced. The coming clean of the Soviet Union regarding Stalin's atracities. A big hit Perestroilea was to Callen An enemy of Gorbacher, Yellsin; postock portin a coup to overthrow Gorbacher. It was badly planned but Yeltsin would finish what Gorbacher started Gorbocher gave more power to the Soviets men which meant that the communist parties parties part was reduced. Yeltsin hit the last nail in the coffin by declaring the communist party illegal and distanding it The I communist soviet union crazed to exist. It is not very accurate to say that the aftempts to deal with the political stagnation were unsuccessful as in the end change did happen. There was a lef of opposition but it was defeated.

This is a level 3 response. It shows some understanding of the question but is limited in depth. The criteria for judgement are not developed and this prevents the answer from accessing level 4.

Based on the performance of this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

#### Section A

- Make sure you are aware of the topics highlighted for the source question and have prepared for them
- A careful reading of the sources is needed so that the issues raised are clearly identified
- You must ensure that you draw out inferences, but these should always be directly linked to the source and not driven by contextual knowledge
- You should consider the nature, origin and purpose of the source
- Do not merely restate what the provenance says think about how it can be used to address the question. In a, this requires a consideration of how it adds value and in b, this requires considering value and limitations
- Contextual knowledge should be used to support the answer, not to drive it, and should be made relevant to the enquiry
- Question 1a does not require a consideration of the limitations of sources
- It is unlikely that weight can be assessed by listing all the things that a source does not deal with.

## Section B

- Spending a few minutes planning helps to ensure the second order concept is correctly identified
- Candidates must provide more precise contextual knowledge as evidence. Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Candidates should avoid a narrative/descriptive approach; this undermines the analysis that is required for the higher levels
- Candidates need to be aware of key dates as identified in the specification so that they can address the questions with chronological precision
- Essay questions are set over a period of at least ten years; candidates need to address the whole time period set in the question
- Candidates should try to explore the links between issues in order to make the structure of the response flow more logically and to enable the integration of analysis.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom

